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Approach

Divide the SDG into two separate components:
• Strengthen the means of implementation
• Revitalise the global partnership for sustainable development

Section 2: examines the available knowledge and data on strengthening MoI

Section 3: examines the extent to which a revitalised global partnership is emerging. It examines both partnerships on both a geographical basis and a functional basis.

Section 4: makes the argument that (a) transfers to developing countries to improve MoI are less than net South-to-North financial flows (b) no global partnership for SD is emerging
Strengthen MoI

Distinction between:
• Forest financing
• SFM financing
Figure 17.3. Global ODA for forestry from 2000 to 2015 (Source: OECD 2017a).
Figure 17.4 Climate Funds Supporting REDD+, 2008-2016. Source: CFU 2017.
Figure 17.5. Annual subsidies to specific agricultural commodities (beef and soy in Brazil; palm oil and timber in Indonesia) compared to annual international REDD+ finance and forestry ODA in Brazil and Indonesia, 2009 – 2012, (US $ m.). Sources: McFarland et al. (2015), OECD (2017b).
### Table 17.1: Comparing REDD+ finance received, with domestic expenditure on biofuel and agriculture subsidies (average annual $ million)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>11,082</td>
<td>2,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>160,023</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>27,072</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7,880</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>346</strong></td>
<td><strong>206,766</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,279</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:** REDD + finance (Norman and Nahkooda, 2014)  
Agricultural subsidies (OECD, 2014a): Biofuel subsidies (Gerasimchuk et al, 2012)
Partnerships

World Bank Forest Carbon Partnership Facility
UN-REDD
ILO’s Sectoral Policies Department (SECTOR) Decent Work Agenda
CPF/IUFRO Global Forest Expert Panels
Initiative 20x20 (Latin America)
Zero Deforestation pledges
Partnerships for sacred forest conservation
Small Island Developing States (SIDS) SAMOA Pathway
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Conclusions

• Forest financing is dwarfed by subsidies for other sectors such as agriculture

• Aid transfers to forest countries are negated by net south-to-north financial transfers

• There is no “global partnership for sustainable development”. There are partnerships promoting sustainable development – but they have relatively little impact relative to the global partnership promoting international trade and economic growth.
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